REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH **ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF **FALLBROOK OAKS**

3800 05-006 (GPA); 3600 05-015 (REZ); 3100 5449 (TM); 3500 07-009 (STP); 3000 08-054 (AD); ER 05-02-029

September 24, 2009

COMMENT TO STAFF: The Project Manager must ensure that all applicable 0

environmental o the project.	rdinances are	complied wi	th to the extent that these ordinances apply to
			E – Does the proposed project conform to the Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □
Discussion:			
boundaries of the of any off-site im Permit/Coastal \$	ie Multiple Spo nprovements o Sage Scrub O	ecies Consei do not contai rdinance. Th	provements are located outside of the vation Program, the project site and locations in habitats subject to the Habitat Loss herefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss lings is not required.
			ct conform to the Multiple Species gation Ordinance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
located outside	of the bounda ormance with	ries of the M the Multiple S	rovements related to the proposed project are ultiple Species Conservation Program. Species Conservation Program and the ired.
III. GROUNDWA			s the project comply with the requirements of ance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
Discussion:			

The project will obtain its water supply from the Rainbow Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

Even though wetlands and/or wetland buffer areas have been identified on the project, the project has been found to be consistent with Article IV of the Resource Protection Ordinance, due to the following reasons: a) the project will not place any non-permitted uses within wetlands; b) the project will not allow any non-permitted uses within wetland buffer areas. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

A major drainage way/creek runs through the project site. The project is not proposing to place structures with a potential for human occupation within these areas. The project also meets all setback requirements from the floodplain fringe/floodway; therefore, the project complies with the floodway and floodplain fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Chris Stevenson on November 27, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego certified archaeologist/historian, Brian K. Glenn with Pacific West Archaeology, Inc. and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

Discussion:	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE					
The Stormwater Ma with the WPO requ	•	lan submitted	is substantially complete and complie	es				
VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE					

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Staff has reviewed the Preliminary Noise Study dated June 2, 2008 prepared by Urban Crossroads received on October 20, 2008. The project consists of an 18 lot subdivision located in the Fallbrook area. Documentation and noise analysis is considered complete at this time. Primary noise source to potentially impact the project subdivision is from future vehicle traffic traveling on Reche Road. All proposed lots with the exemption to Lot 18 will be located well distanced from Reche Road. A noise report has been conducted to demonstrate compliance with the County General Plan Noise Element, policy 4b. Based on the noise report, ground level noise sensitive land use(s) (NSLU) on Lot 18 will experience future traffic noise levels as high as 58.6 dBA CNEL. County noise standards allow the exposure of NSLU to 60 dBA CNEL and the proposed ground level NSLU meet this requirement. Additionally, second story receptors on Lot 18 have also been evaluated showing future traffic noise impacts of 65.2 dBA CNEL. An interior noise analysis is required when residential structures are exposed to noise levels exceeding 60 dBA CNEL. Although building plans are not available at this time, the project will be conditioned to dedicate a noise protection easement over the entire

area of Lot 18. This will ensure that an interior noise will be required prior to the any building permit approval within this specified area. Furthermore, if future design changes show that Lot 18 is removed from the proposed subdivision, then the noise protection easement will not be required. However, other major changes to the subdivision design consisting of rearranging lots will require further evaluation by staff to determine compliance with County Noise Standards. Therefore, incorporation of the noise protection easement to Lot 18 will ensure the project will comply with County Noise Element, policy 4b.